Obtaining coarse-grained models from multiscale data

Sebastian Krumscheid

CSQI - MATHICSE, École Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne

April 17, 2015







joint work with G. A. Pavliotis (IC, Mathematics) and S. Kalliadasis (IC, Chemical Engineering)

Data-driven coarse-graining

What are we interested in?

- Many Dynamical systems in the natural sciences are characterised by the presence of processes that occur across several length and time scales, e.g. atmosphere-ocean system, biological systems, materials and molecular dynamics, etc.
- Full multiscale system is cumbersome to analyse: high-dimensional, nonlinear coupling, small scale vs. large scale effects, etc. Sometimes, it is not even fully known.
- Commonly, only the evolution of a few selected degrees of freedom is of main interest, which are often observable.

Idea: Data-Driven Coarse-Graining

Use data (observations) of full system to find an adequate simplified **low-dimensional** coarse-grained model that retains the essential dynamic characteristics of the degrees of freedom of interest.

Data-driven coarse-graining: A motivating example

The paleoclimatic record

• Celebrated (partial) record of $\delta^{18}O$ (\approx proxy for temperature) from the NGRIP ice core during last glacial period [Anderson et al. Nature, 2004]



 Temperature is a single degree of freedom of an arguably high-dimensional climate model.

Inference for coarse-grained dynamical systems

Abstract framework

• Consider a dynamical system Z^{ε} evolving according to

$$\frac{dZ^{\varepsilon}}{dt} = F(Z^{\varepsilon}) , \quad Z^{\varepsilon}(t) \in \mathcal{Z}$$

• Decompose the state space into subspaces \mathcal{X} and \mathcal{Y} :

$$\mathcal{Z} = \mathcal{X} \oplus \mathcal{Y}$$
, $\dim(\mathcal{X}) \ll \dim(\mathcal{Y})$

 $\mathcal{X}:$ state space of degrees of freedom of interest

Data-Driven Coarse-Graining

Use data $X^{\varepsilon} = P_{\mathcal{X}} Z^{\varepsilon}$ to find a stochastic coarse-grained system

$$dX = f(X;\theta) dt + g(X;\theta) dW_t , \quad X(t) \in \mathcal{X}$$

such that $X \approx X^{\varepsilon}$ (in an appropriate sense).

But ...

Inverse problem for θ based on $X^{\varepsilon} = P_{\mathcal{X}}Z^{\varepsilon}$ not straightforward!

Swiss Numerical Analysis Day 2015, Geneva

Failure of classic approaches: Multiscale diffusions

A toy example: homogenization

[Pavliotis, Stuart. Springer. 2008]

Dynamical System $dX^{\varepsilon} = \left(AX^{\varepsilon} + \frac{\sqrt{\sigma}}{\varepsilon}Y^{\varepsilon}\right)dt,$ $dY^{\varepsilon} = -\frac{1}{\varepsilon^{2}}Y^{\varepsilon}dt + \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\varepsilon}dV_{t}$ Coarse-Grained System

$-A = \sigma = 1/2$, $\varepsilon = 0.1$ Y^{ε} (fast) -4-55 10 15 2025

- $dX = AX \, dt + \sqrt{2\sigma} \, dW_t$
 - Coarse-grained system rigorously obtained via Homogenization theory
 - Commonly used parametric estimators for SDEs are MLE and QVP: $\hat{A}_{MLE} = -0.026 \not\approx -0.5 = A$, $\hat{\sigma}_{QVP} = 0.026 \not\approx 0.5 = \sigma$.

 Failure for multiscale systems on the diffusive time scale

 [Pavilotis, Stuart. 2007], [Papavasiliou, Pavilotis, Stuart. 2009], [Azencott, Beri, Timofeyev. 2010]

Standard estimators for coarse-grained system based on observations from full **multiscale** system are (asymptotically) biased

• For the toy example: $\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \lim_{T \to \infty} \hat{A}_{MLE}(\varepsilon, T) = A + \sigma$

General abstract nonsense or practically relevant?

• A practitioner believes the "true" coarse-grained model is

 $dX = f(X) dt + g(X) dW_t$

- An estimator is derived from this model: $\mathcal{E}(X)$
- One does not observe X, but a perturbed version X^{ε} instead.
- Is the estimator robust w.r.t. the perturbation? Does it hold that $\mathcal{E}(X^{\varepsilon}) \to \mathcal{E}(X)$, if $X^{\varepsilon} \to X$ as $\varepsilon \to 0$?

Derivation of general purpose estimator

• Let X_{ξ} denote the solution to coarse-grained Itô SDE

$$dX = f(X) dt + g(X) dW_t , \quad X(0) = \xi \in \mathbb{R}^d ,$$

with $f \colon \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^d$, $g \colon \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^{d \times r}$

• Both f and $G := gg^T \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$ depend on unknown parameters $\theta \equiv (\theta_1, \dots, \theta_n)^T \in \Theta \subset \mathbb{R}^n$:

$$f(x) \equiv f(x;\theta) := \sum_{j=1}^n \theta_j f_j(x) \quad \text{and} \quad G(x) \equiv G(x;\theta) := \sum_{j=1}^n \theta_j G_j(x)$$

• For any function $\phi \in C_b^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and any t > 0, Itô's formula implies

$$\mathbb{E}\Big(\phi\big(X_{\xi}(t)\big)\Big) - \phi(\xi) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \theta_j \int_0^t \mathbb{E}\Big((\mathcal{L}_j\phi)\big(X_{\xi}(s)\big)\Big) \, ds$$

with generators $\mathcal{L}_j \phi = f_j \cdot \nabla \phi + \frac{1}{2}G_j : \nabla \nabla \phi$

Swiss Numerical Analysis Day 2015, Geneva

This can be written as

$$a(\xi)^T \theta = b_c(\xi) ,$$

$$b_c(\xi) := \mathbb{E}ig(\phiig(X_{\xi}(t)ig) - \phi(\xi) \text{ and } a(\xi) := ig(\int_0^t \mathbb{E}ig((\mathcal{L}_j\phi)ig(X_{\xi}(s)ig)ig) dsig)_{1 \le j \le n} \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

- Equation $a(\xi)^T \theta = b_c(\xi)$ is ill-posed
- Since the equation is valid for any trial point *ξ*, we can overcome this shortcoming by considering multiple trial points (*ξ_i*)_{1<i<m}, thus

$$A\theta = b ,$$

with $A := \left(a(\xi_i)^T\right)_{1 \le i \le m} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ and $b := \left(b_c(\xi_i)\right)_{1 \le i \le m} \in \mathbb{R}^m$

Define estimator as least-squares solutions

$$\hat{\theta} := \operatorname*{arg\,min}_{x \in \mathcal{S}} \|x\|_2^2 , \quad \mathcal{S} := \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n \colon \|Ax - b\|_2^2 = \min \right\}$$

The toy example revisited: Does it work at all?



Observations

- consistent parameter estimation seems possible
- sufficiently large *t* removes multiscale bias:

multiscale bias $\approx \sigma (|A| + t^{-1}) \varepsilon^2 + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^4)$

Just lucky? A more complex system.



Coarse-Grained System

$$dX = (AX - BX^3) dt + \sqrt{2(\sigma_a + \sigma_b X^2)} dW$$



What about rigorous results? Not just lucky!

[K. arXiv, 2014]

Robustness

Assumptions

- A1 $X^{\varepsilon} \Rightarrow X$ as $\varepsilon \to 0$ in $C([0,T], \mathbb{R}^d)$
- A2 Sampling errors in discretely sampled observations vanish as $h \rightarrow 0$
- A3 Error of approximating time integrals by numerical quadrature vanishes as $\delta \to 0$
- A4 Error of approximating expectations by finite averages vanishes as $N \rightarrow \infty$

Proposition (Robustness)

Under assumptions A1–A4, the estimator is robust with respect to multiscale perturbations, in the sense that

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \hat{\theta}(X^{\varepsilon}) = \theta , \quad a.s.$$

for any t > 0 and $\phi \in C_b^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

Theory covers problem of obtaining coarse-grained models for:

Many more Examples [K., Pavliotis, Kalliadasis. MMS, 2013], [Kalliadasis, K., Pavliotis. arXiv , 2014]

Theory covers problem of obtaining coarse-grained models for:

 multiscale problems with multidimensional coarse-grained models

Brownian Motion in two-scale Potential $x \mapsto V(x, x/\varepsilon)$



Many more Examples [K., Pavliotis, Kalliadasis, MMS, 2013], [Kalliadasis, K., Pavliotis, arXiv, 2014]

Theory covers problem of obtaining coarse-grained models for:

- multiscale problems with multidimensional coarse-grained models
- stochastic PDEs

Burgers equation in a small noise regime:

$$du_{\varepsilon} = \left((\partial_x^2 + 1)u_{\varepsilon} + \frac{1}{2}\partial_x u_{\varepsilon}^2 + \varepsilon^2 \nu u_{\varepsilon} \right) dt + \varepsilon \mathcal{Q} \, d\mathcal{W}_t$$

Study solutions of $\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon)$ on times scales $\mathcal{O}(1/\varepsilon^2)$: diffusive rescaling v_{ε} s.t. $\varepsilon v_{\varepsilon}(\varepsilon^2 t) = u_{\varepsilon}(t)$

Many more Examples [K., Pavliotis, Kalliadasis. MMS, 2013], [Kalliadasis, K., Pavliotis. arXiv , 2014]

Theory covers problem of obtaining coarse-grained models for:

- multiscale problems with multidimensional coarse-grained models
- stochastic PDEs
- deterministic systems with stochastic limit:
 - Kac–Zwanzig models: "particle in a heat bath"
 - deterministic model of Brownian motion
 - fast deterministic chaos
 - ▶ ...

etc.

Kac–Zwanzig model:

A distinguished particle moves in a potential V and interacts with M heat bath particles:

$$H = \frac{1}{2}P^2 + V(Q) + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{j=1}^{M} \frac{p_j^2}{m_j} + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{j=1}^{M} k_j (q_j - Q)^2$$

Data-driven coarse-graining: A real-world application

The paleoclimatic record revisited [K., Pradas, Kalliadasis, Pavliotis. 2015]

• A robust estimation procedure provides more confidence when studying real-world phenomena based on data that may be prone to effects from multiple scales.



- Example of model-based analysis: average time between Dansgaard–Oeschger events:
 - ► $\tau_{\rm DO}$ = average time to exit from warm state + average time to exit from cold state : model M1 $\tau_{\rm DO} \approx 1.60$ ky and model M2 $\tau_{\rm DO} \approx 1.51$ ky
 - Previously reported value in the literature (various physical arguments and/or complex models): 1.5 ky.

Swiss Numerical Analysis Day 2015, Geneva

A general purpose procedure for data-driven coarse-graining

Take Home Message

- Multiscale effects in data can result in inconsistent (i.e. false) parametric estimators for coarse-grained models,
- Using a robust scheme however, it is possible to obtain simplified low-dimensional models from available data.
- Question: Can you rule out the presence of multiscale effects in your data? If not, then use classic parametric estimators carefully.

Generalisations and Extensions

- Additional data contamination by noise, e.g. via filtering techniques
- Passage to fully nonparametric setting (\checkmark)
- Applications in (computational) molecular dynamics
- Is a Bayesian approach helpful?